

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/767,459	ANDERSON ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Henry M. Johnson, III	3739

All Participants:

(1) Henry M. Johnson, III.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Robert Schaffer.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 9 February 2007

Time: 9:03 AM EST

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

80

Prior art documents discussed:


#### Part II.

##### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant provided revised figure Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over to include claimed elements and amended claim 80 for clarity. Note [redacted] further action is required by the applicant at this time.*

#### Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)